## 

1 2	DAVID R. EBERHART (S.B. #195474) deberhart@omm.com DAVID J. SEPANIK (S.B. #221527)			
3	dsepanik@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP			
4	Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3823			
5	Telephone: (415) 984-8700 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701			
6	Attorneys for Defendant			
7	Apple Inc.			
8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	TOM LUCE, BRIAN KROLL, MATT	Case No. CV12-2063 MMC		
13	BLACKETT, LAWRENCE RIGGS,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO		
14	Plaintiffs,	RESPOND TO COMPLAINT		
15	V.	Original response date: May 29, 2012 Current response date: July 27, 2012		
16	SELENA GOMEZ, SELENA GOMEZ & THE SCENE, LINDY ROBBINS, TOBY	New response date: August 10, 2012		
17 18	GAD, HOLLYWOOD RECORDS, INC., a California Corporation, HEY KIDDO MUSIC GAD SONGS LLC a Limited			
19	MUSIC, GAD SONGS, LLC, a Limited Liability Company, APPLE INC., a California Corporation, EMI APRIL			
20	MUSIC, INC., a Connecticut Corporation, and KOBALT MUSIC SERVICES			
21	AMERICA, INC., a Delaware Corporation.			
22	Defendants.			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
I		CASE NO. CV12-2063 MN		

CASE NO. CV12-2063 MMC STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT

## 

1	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Tom Luce, Brian Kroll, Matt Blackett, and Lawrence Riggs
2	(Plaintiffs) filed this action against, among others, Defendants Apple Inc., (Apple) and
3	Hollywood Records, Inc. (Hollywood Records), and a response was originally due May 29, 2012;
4	WHEREAS, on May 25, 2012, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the Plaintiffs and
5	Apple agreed to extend the time within which Apple must answer or otherwise respond to the
6	Complaint until June 28, 2012;
7	WHEREAS, on May 29, 2012, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the Plaintiffs and
8	Hollywood Records agreed to extend the time within which Hollywood Records must answer or
9	otherwise respond to the Complaint until July 2, 2012;
10	WHEREAS, on June 26, 2012, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the Plaintiffs and
11	Apple, and the Plaintiffs and Hollywood Records, agreed to extend the time within which Apple
12	and Hollywood Records must answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until July 27, 2012;
13	WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the Plaintiffs and Apple, and the
14	Plaintiffs and Hollywood Records, have respectively agreed to extend the time within which
15	Apple and Hollywood Records must answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint until August
16	10, 2012 so that the parties can continue their attempt to resolve this matter outside of the
17	courtroom. This extension will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by
18	Court order;
19	NOW, THEREFORE, Plaintiffs, Apple, and Hollywood Records, through their
20	respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:
21	Defendant Apple Inc. and Defendant Hollywood Records, Inc. in the above-
22	entitled matter shall have until August 10, 2012, to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	Case 3:12-cv-02063-MMC Document 37	7 Filed 07/26/12 Page 3 of 4	
1	Dated: July 25, 2012	Respectfully submitted,	
2	• /	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
3			
4		By: /s/ David R. Eberhart	
5		David R. Eberhart Attorneys for Defendant	
6		Apple Inc.	
7	Dated: July 25, 2012	Respectfully submitted,	
8		PHILLIPS, ERLEWINE & GIVEN LLP	
9			
10		By:/s/ Nicholas A. Carlin	
11		Nicholas A. Carlin Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
12		Tom Luce, Brian Kroll, Matt Blackett, Lawrence Riggs	
13	Dated: July 25, 2012	Respectfully submitted,	
14			
15		Dev /a/ V and M E a la Man	
16		By: /s/ Karen N. Frederiksen  Karen N. Frederiksen	
17		Attorney for Defendant Hollywood Records, Inc.	
18			
19	ATTE	TATION	
20	<u>ATTESTATION</u>		
21	Pursuant to General Order No. 45X(B), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this		
22	document has been obtained from each of the ab	oove-listed signatories.	
23			
24	DATED: July 25, 2012 B:	y: <u>/s/ <i>David R. Eberhart</i></u> David R. Eberhart	
25			
26			
27			
28		CASE NO. CV12 2062 NOAC	
	- 3	CASE NO. CV12-2063 MMC  3 - STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO  RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT	

RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT

## 

1	PROPOSED ORDEER	
2	Upon stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3		
4	DATED II 26 2012 MA Chalman	
5	DATED: July 26, 2012  Makine M. CHESNEY  LINITED STATES DISTRICT COURT HIDGE	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	CASE NO. CV12-2063 MMC	
	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	